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Statement of the problem

Aim: to compute

\[ J := \mathbb{E}[f(Y, \mathbb{E}[R \mid Y]) \mid Y \in A] \]

where

✓ \( R \) and \( Y \) are vector-valued random variables,
✓ \( A \) is a rare subset, i.e. \( \mathbb{P}(Y \in A) \) small.

Equivalent to

\[ \mathbb{E}[f(X, \mathbb{E}[R \mid X])] \]

where \( X \) has the conditional distribution of \( Y \) given \( \{Y \in A\} \)

Nested expectations. Computation in two stages:

✓ one inner (cond.) expectation: \( \phi_\star(X) = \mathbb{E}[R \mid X] \)
✓ one outer expectation related to a rare-event
Applications of computing nested expectations

✓ Dynamic programming equations for stochastic control and optimal stopping problems, see [TR01, LS01, Egl05, LGW06, BKS10]. But coupling with rare-event is usually not required.

✓ Financial and actuarial risk management [MFE05]

- risk management of portfolios written with derivative options [Gordy, Juneja, [GJ10]]
  
  \( R \): aggregated cashflows of derivatives at time \( T' \)
  
  \( Y \) : for the underlying financial variables at time \( T < T' \).

- computation of the extreme exposure (Value at Risk, Conditional VaR) of the portfolio.

- Essential concerns for Solvency Capital Requirement in insurance [Devineau, Loisel [DL09]].
1 Several approaches for outer/inner stages

1.1 Crude MC/Crude MC for \( \mathbb{E}[f(X, \mathbb{E}[R | X])] \)

- Sample \( M \) i.i.d. of \( X^{(m)} \) (using for instance rejection algorithms)
- For each \( X^{(m)} \), sample \( N \) i.i.d. samples of \( R | X^{(m)} \):
  \[
  \mathbb{E} \left[ R | X^{(m)} \right] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} R^{(m,k)}.
  \]
- Simple, but not very efficient for both the outer and the inner stages

Simulations In Simulations algorithm, picture taken from [DL09]
1.2 Crude MC/Other spatial approximation of $\mathbb{E} [. \mid X]$

✓ [Hong, Juneja [HJ09]] for kernel estimators

✓ [Liu, Staum [LS10]] for kriging techniques

✓ [Broadie, Du, Moallemi [BDM15]] for least-squares regression methods (with possible weighting)

Outer stage remains unsatisfactory, because $Y$ are sampled i.i.d. and rejected when outside $A$.

1.3 Our approach

✓ use a MCMC scheme for the outer stage

✓ design a regression scheme for the inner stage, using $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_L$ basis functions
2 Efficient sampling under the conditional probability: MCMC approach


**Aim:** sampling of $Y \mid Y \in \mathcal{A}$.

2.1 Preliminaries

We seek a flexible approach able to overcome some constraints of importance sampling.
Example (Large oscillation of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process). Let $W$ be a standard Brownian motion, consider the solution to

$$dZ_t = \lambda(\mu - Z_t)dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad Z_0 = 0.$$ 

We wish to sample on the rare event

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} Z_t > 1.6 \text{ and } \min_{0 \leq t \leq T} Z_t < -1.6 \right\}.$$ 

Important sampling techniques are difficult to apply on this example.
2.2 MCMC shaker

Definition (of reversible shaking transformation).

✓ Define $\mathcal{K}(.) = K(., U)$ for some $K(.)$ and $U$ independent of $Y$.

✓ $\mathcal{K}(\cdot)$ is a reversible shaker for $Y$ if $(Y, \mathcal{K}(Y)) \overset{d}{=} (\mathcal{K}(Y), Y)$.

Similar to balance equation in symmetric Metropolis-Hastings sampler.

Example (SDE shaker). If $Y$ is a standard Brownian motion,

$$K(Y, U) = \left( \int_0^t \rho_s dY_s + \int_0^t \sqrt{1 - \rho_s^2} dU_s \right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$$

with $U$ is an independent BM and $\rho \in [-1, 1]$ deterministic.

Shaking OU

$$dZ_t = \lambda(\mu - Z_t)dt + \sigma dY_t.$$}

Path of $Z$, shaked $Z$ with $\rho = 0.9$ and $\rho = 0.1$
\[ \text{\textbf{SHAKER WITH REJECTION:}} \quad \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{K}(Y) := \begin{cases} \mathcal{K}(Y) & \text{if } \mathcal{K}(Y) \in \mathcal{A} \\ Y & \text{if } \mathcal{K}(Y) \notin \mathcal{A} \end{cases}. \]

**Proposition (conditional invariance under shaking with rejection).**
The distribution of \( Y \) conditionally on \( \{Y \in \mathcal{A}\} \) is invariant w.r.t. the random transformation \( \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{K} \): for any bounded \( \varphi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R} \)

\[ \mathbb{E}(\varphi(\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{K}(Y)) \mid Y \in \mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi(Y) \mid Y \in \mathcal{A}). \]

\[ \checkmark \text{Birkhoff theorem:} \quad \text{given an initial position } X_0 \in \mathcal{A} \text{ define} \]

\[ X^{(m)} := \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{K}(X^{(m-1)}), \quad m \geq 1. \]

Then, if \( (X^{(m)})_m \) is an ergodic Markov chain,

\[ \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} f(X^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{M \to +\infty} \mathbb{E}[f(Y) \mid Y \in \mathcal{A}]. \]
2.3 MCMC/Regression scheme for $\mathbb{E}[f(Y, \mathbb{E}[R | Y]) \mid Y \in \mathcal{A}]$

$\begin{align*}
1 \quad & /* \text{Simulation of the design and the observations} */ \\
2 \quad & X^{(0)} \sim \xi, \text{ where } \xi \text{ is a distribution on } \mathcal{A}; \\
3 \quad & \text{for } m = 1 \text{ to } M \text{ do} \\
4 \quad & \quad X^{(m)} \sim P(X^{(m-1)}, dx) \text{ (apply the Shaker with rejection);} \\
5 \quad & \quad R^{(m)} \sim Q(X^{(m)}, dr); \\
6 \quad & /* \text{Least-Squares regression} */ \\
7 \quad & \text{Choose } \hat{\alpha}_M \in \mathbb{R}^L \text{ solving } \arg \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^L} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left| R^{(m)} - \left\langle \alpha; \phi(X^{(m)}) \right\rangle \right|^2 \text{ and set} \\
8 \quad & \quad \hat{\phi}_M(x) := \langle \hat{\alpha}_M; \phi(x) \rangle; \\
9 \quad & /* \text{Final estimator using ergodic average} */ \\
10 \quad & \text{Return } \hat{J}_M := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M f(X^{(m)}, \hat{\phi}_M(X^{(m)})).
\end{align*}$

Full algorithm with $M$ data, $M \geq L$. 
2.4 Convergence results about regression

Notations:

✓ Let $\mu \, d\lambda$ be the distribution of $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\lambda$-positive $\sigma$-finite measure

✓ Let $L_2(\mu)$ be the set of measurable functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\varphi|_{L_2(\mu)} := \left( \int \varphi^2 \mu \, d\lambda \right)^{1/2} < +\infty$$

✓ Let $\psi_* = \arg \inf_{\varphi \in \text{Span}(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_L)} |\phi_* - \varphi|_{L_2(\mu)}$ be the projection of $\phi_*$ on the basis functions.

Theorem (Non asymptotic error estimates on the regression function).

Assume that

(i) the transition kernel $P$ and the initial distribution $\xi$ satisfy: there exists a constant $C_P$ and a rate sequence $\{\rho(m), m \geq 1\}$ such that for any $m \geq 1$,

$$\left| \xi P^m[(\psi_* - \phi_*)^2] - \int (\psi_* - \phi_*)^2 \mu \, d\lambda \right| \leq C_P \rho(m).$$
(ii) the conditional distribution $Q$ satisfies

$$\sigma^2 := \sup_{x \in A} \left\{ \int r^2 Q(x, dr) - \left( \int r Q(x, dr) \right)^2 \right\} < \infty.$$ 

Let $X^{(1:M)}$ and $\hat{\phi}_M$ be given by the previous Algorithm. Then,

$$\Delta_M := \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left( \hat{\phi}_M(X^{(m)}) - \phi_*(X^{(m)}) \right)^2 \right] \leq \frac{\sigma^2 L}{M} + |\psi_* - \phi_*|_{2(\mu)}^2 + \frac{C_P}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \rho(m).$$

**Remarks.**

✓ Finite dimensional Gaussian shaker $\rho(m) = \text{Cst } e^{-cm}$ with $c > 0$.

✓ Usually $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \rho(m) < +\infty$

✓ The use of MCMC design does not impact significantly the statistical error.
Theorem (Non asymptotic error estimates on the outer expectation \( \mathbb{E} [f (Y, \mathbb{E} [R | Y]) \mid Y \in A] \)). Assume

(i) \( f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is globally Lipschitz in the second variable

\[
|f(y, r_1) - f(y, r_2)| \leq C_f |r_1 - r_2|.
\]

(ii) There exists a finite constant \( C \) such that for any \( M \)

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} f \left( X^{(m)}, \phi_*(X^{(m)}) \right) - \int f(x, \phi_*(x)) \mu(x) \, d\lambda(x) \right)^2 \right] \leq \frac{C}{M}.
\]

Then

\[
\left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} f(X^{(m)}, \hat{\phi}_M(X^{(m)})) - \mathbb{E} [f (Y, \mathbb{E} [R | Y]) \mid Y \in A] \right|^2 \right] \right)^{1/2} \leq C_f \sqrt{\Delta_M} + \sqrt{\frac{C}{M}}.
\]
2.5 MCMC/crude MC scheme for $\mathbb{E}[f(Y, \mathbb{E}[R \mid Y]) \mid Y \in \mathcal{A}]$

1 /* Simulation of the design and the observations */  
2 $X^{(0)} \sim \xi$, where $\xi$ is a distribution on $\mathcal{A}$;  
3 for $m = 1$ to $M$ do  
4 \[ X^{(m)} \sim P(X^{(m-1)}, dx); \]  
5 for $k = 1$ to $N$ do  
6 \[ R^{(m,k)} \sim Q(X^{(m)}, dr); \]

7 /* Conditional expectation by crude Monte Carlo */  
8 Compute $\overline{R}_N^{(m)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} R^{(m,k)}$;  
9 /* Final estimator using ergodic average */  
10 Return $\tilde{J}_M := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} f(X^{(m)}, \overline{R}_N^{(m)})$.

Full algorithm with $M$ outer samples, and $N$ inner samples for each outer one.
Theorem (Convergence analysis). Assume that

(i) the second/fourth conditional moments of $Q$ are bounded: for $p = 2, 4$,

$$\sigma_p := \left( \sup_{x \in A} \int \left| r - \int r Q(x, dr) \right|^p Q(x, dr) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$ 

(ii) There exists a finite constant $C$ such that for any $M$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( M^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{M} f \left( X^{(m)}, \phi_\star(X^{(m)}) \right) - \int f(x, \phi_\star(x)) \mu(x) d\lambda(x) \right)^2 \right] \leq \frac{C}{M}.$$ 

Then,

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \left[ J_M - J \right]^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \begin{cases} \text{Cst} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \right) & \text{if } f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is globally Lipschitz in the second variable} \\
\text{Cst} \left( \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \right) & \text{if } f \text{ is continuously differentiable in the second variable, with Lipschitz derivative} \end{cases}$$
2.6 Asymptotic analysis MCMC/Regression versus MCMC/Crude MC

Assume that the unknown regression function $\phi_\star : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is $C^p$. Then

\[
\text{Error}_{\text{MCMC/Regression}} = O\left(\text{Cost}^{-\frac{p}{2p+d}}\right),
\]

\[
\text{Error}_{\text{MCMC/Crude MC}} = \begin{cases} 
O\left(\text{Cost}^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right) & \text{if } f \text{ Lipschitz} \\
O\left(\text{Cost}^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right) & \text{if } f \text{ smoother.}
\end{cases}
\]

In the standard case of Lipschitz $f$:

✓ Low dimension ($p \geq d/2$): use MCMC/Regression

✓ Large dimension: use MCMC/Crude MC
3 Numerical examples

Goal: to approximate

\[ J := \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ (K - h(S_{T'}))_+ \mid S_T \right] - p_\star \right)_+ \mid S_T \in \mathcal{S} \right] \]

for various choices of \( h \), where \( \{S_t, t \geq 0\} \) is a \( d \)-dimensional geometric Brownian motion, \( T < T' \) and \( \{S_T \in \mathcal{S}\} \) is a rare event.

3.1 A toy example in dimension 1

Here \( h(y) = y \) and \( \mathcal{S} = \{s \in \mathbb{R}_+: s \leq s_\star\} \) so that

\[ J = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ (K - S_{T'})_+ \mid S_T \right] - p_\star \right)_+ \mid S_T \leq s_\star \right] . \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T )</th>
<th>( T' )</th>
<th>( S_0 )</th>
<th>( K )</th>
<th>( \sigma )</th>
<th>( s_\star )</th>
<th>( p_\star )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here \( \mathbb{P}(Y \in \mathcal{A}) \approx 5.6e-5 \)
Normalized histograms of the $M = 1e6$ points from the Markov chain (left), from the i.i.d. sampler with rejection (middle). (right) Restricted to $[-6, y_\star]$, the cdf of $Y$ given $\{Y \in \mathcal{A}\}$ with MCMC/crude MC estimates.

MCMC sampler gives very accurate sampling of the tails
Choosing the shaking parameter is important!

For different values of $\rho$, estimation of the autocorrelation function (over 100 independent runs) of the chain $P_{GL}$.
Each curve is computed using $1e6$ sampled points.
3.1 A toy example in dimension 1

Tune the shaking parameter according to the acceptance rate
3.1 A toy example in dimension 1

(top) Mean acceptance rate after $M$ chain iterations of the chain
(green = 23.4% [Rosenthal 2008])

(bottom) Estimation of $\mathbb{P}(Y \in A)$ by combining splitting and MCMC
(left) 1000 sampled points \((X^{(m)}, R^{(m)})\) (using the MCMC sampler), together with \(\phi^*_\);

(right) A realization of the error function \(x \mapsto \hat{\phi}_M(x) - \phi_*(x)\) on \([-5, y_*]\), for different values of \(L \in \{2, 3, 4\}\) and two different kernels when sampling \(X^{(1:M)}\).
Empirical evidence of the theoretical error bounds

(Left) Monte Carlo approximations of $M \mapsto \Delta_M$, and fitted curves of the form $M \mapsto \alpha + \beta/M$.

(Right) For different values of $\rho$, and for three different values of $M$, boxplot of 100 independent estimates $\widehat{J}_M$ when $X^{(1:M)}$ is sampled from a Markov chain.
3.2 Correlated geometric Brownian motions in dim. 2

We consider

\[ J := E \left[ \left( E \left[ \left( K - \sqrt{S_{1,T'}S_{2,T'}} \right)_+ | S_T \right] - p_* \right)_+ | S_T \in S \right] \]

with \( S = \{(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ : s_1 \leq s_*, s_2 \leq s_* \} \).

Level curves of the density function of \((S_{1,T}, S_{2,T})\) and the rare set in the lower left area delimited by the two hyperplanes.
For the basis functions, we take

\[ \varphi_1(x) = 1, \quad \varphi_2(x) = \sqrt{x_1}, \quad \varphi_3(x) = \sqrt{x_2}, \]
\[ \varphi_4(x) = x_1, \quad \varphi_5(x) = x_2, \quad \varphi_6(x) = \sqrt{x_1 x_2}. \]

<p>| | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tuning the shaking parameter according acceptance rate**

Boxplot over 100 independent runs, of the mean acceptance rate after \( M = 1e4 \) iterations for the Markov chain kernel. Different values of \( \rho \) are considered.
(left) Normalized histograms of the error
\[ \{ \hat{\phi}_M(X^{(m)}) - \phi_*(X^{(m)}), m = 1, \cdots, M \}, \text{ when } L = 3. \]
(right): the same case with \( L = 6 \).
(left) Error function with $L = 3$.

(right) The same case with $L = 6$. 
4 Conclusion

✓ Design of a regression method suitable for accurate computations in the tails
✓ Tail distribution is sampled using MCMC
✓ MCMC/Regression $\gg$ MCMC/Crude Monte Carlo for small ratio
✓ What next?
   ▶ Ongoing works (with David Barrera, Postdoc at Ecole Polytechnique, and Gersende Fort)
   ▶ Uniform concentration of measure estimates for non stationary ergodic chains
   ▶ Rare event set $\mathcal{A}$ depending itself on conditional expectations (adaptive MCMC-regression scheme)
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