

# Evolution of the Wasserstein distance between the marginals of two Markov processes

Jacopo Corbetta (École des Ponts - ParisTech)

Joint work with: Aurélien Alfonsi and Benjamin Jourdain

January 10, 2017

# The Wasserstein distance

## Definition

The  $\underline{\varrho}$ -Wasserstein distance between two probability measures  $P$  and  $\tilde{P}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is given by

$$W_{\underline{\varrho}}(P, \tilde{P}) = \left( \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(P, \tilde{P})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^{\underline{\varrho}} \pi(dx, dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{\underline{\varrho}}}$$

# The Wasserstein distance

## Definition

The  $\underline{\rho}$ -Wasserstein distance between two probability measures  $P$  and  $\tilde{P}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is given by

$$W_{\underline{\rho}}(P, \tilde{P}) = \left( \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(P, \tilde{P})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^{\underline{\rho}} \pi(dx, dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{\underline{\rho}}}$$

## Dual Representation

$$W_{\underline{\rho}}^{\rho}(P, \tilde{P}) = \sup \left\{ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) P(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\phi}(y) \tilde{P}(dy) \right\}$$

A couple  $(\psi, \tilde{\psi})$  obtaining the sup is called Kantorovich potentials.

# A generic heuristic formula

Let  $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{\tilde{X}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be two  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Markov processes.  
Then

$$W_{\rho}^{\rho}(P_t, \tilde{P}_t) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x) P_t(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\psi}_t(y) \tilde{P}_t(dy)$$

## A generic heuristic formula

Let  $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{\tilde{X}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be two  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Markov processes.  
Then

$$W_\rho^g(P_t, \tilde{P}_t) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x) P_t(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\psi}_t(y) \tilde{P}_t(dy)$$

For all  $0 \leq t$

$$\frac{d}{dt} W_\rho^g(P_t, \tilde{P}_t) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x) P_t(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{L}\tilde{\psi}_t(x) \tilde{P}_t(dx).$$

# A generic heuristic formula

Let  $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{\tilde{X}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be two  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Markov processes.  
Then

$$W_\varrho^g(P_t, \tilde{P}_t) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x) P_t(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\psi}_t(y) \tilde{P}_t(dy)$$

For all  $0 \leq t$

$$\frac{d}{dt} W_\varrho^g(P_t, \tilde{P}_t) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x) P_t(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{L}\tilde{\psi}_t(x) \tilde{P}_t(dx).$$

Integral formulation: for all  $0 \leq s \leq t$

$$\begin{aligned} W_\varrho^g(P_t, \tilde{P}_t) - W_\varrho^g(P_s, \tilde{P}_s) = \\ - \int_s^t \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_r(x) P_r(dx) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{L}\tilde{\psi}_r(x) \tilde{P}_r(dx) \right] dr. \end{aligned}$$

# Formal proof

For every  $s, t \geq 0$

$$W_{\varrho}^g(P_s, \tilde{P}_s) \geq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x) P_s(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\psi}_t(x) \tilde{P}_s(dx).$$

For every  $s, t \geq 0$

$$W_{\varrho}^{\varrho}(P_s, \tilde{P}_s) \geq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x) P_s(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\psi}_t(x) \tilde{P}_s(dx).$$

In particular

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_s(x)(P_s(dx) - P_t(dx)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\psi}_s(x)(\tilde{P}_s(dx) - \tilde{P}_t(dx)) \\ & \leq W_{\varrho}^{\varrho}(P_t, \tilde{P}_t) - W_{\varrho}^{\varrho}(P_s, \tilde{P}_s) \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x)(P_s(dx) - P_t(dx)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\psi}_t(x)(\tilde{P}_s(dx) - \tilde{P}_t(dx)). \end{aligned}$$



## Formal proof (2)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x)(P_s(dx) - P_t(dx)) = - \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_r(x)P_r(dx)dr$$

## Formal proof (2)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x)(P_s(dx) - P_t(dx)) = - \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_r(dx)dr$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} \left( W_\rho^g(P_{t+h}, \tilde{P}_{t+h}) - W_\rho^g(P_t, \tilde{P}_t) \right) &\geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h} \left( - \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_r(dx)dr - \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{L}\tilde{\psi}_t(x)P_r(dx)dr \right) \end{aligned}$$

## Formal proof (2)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_t(x)(P_s(dx) - P_t(dx)) = - \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_r(dx)dr$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} \left( W_\varrho^g(P_{t+h}, \tilde{P}_{t+h}) - W_\varrho^g(P_t, \tilde{P}_t) \right) &\geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h} \left( - \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_r(dx)dr - \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{L}\tilde{\psi}_t(x)P_r(dx)dr \right) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit for  $h \rightarrow 0^+$

$$\frac{d}{dt^+} W_\varrho^g(P_t, \tilde{P}_t) \geq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_t(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{L}\tilde{\psi}_t(x)\tilde{P}_t(dx)$$

In the same way:

$$\frac{d}{dt^-} W_\varrho^g(P_t, \tilde{P}_t) \leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x)P_t(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{L}\tilde{\psi}_t(x)\tilde{P}_t(dx).$$

Technical problems:

- ▶  $\psi_t, L\psi_t$  integrability with respect to  $P_s$ .
- ▶  $r \mapsto W_\varrho^g(P_r, \tilde{P}_r)$  differentiability.

Pure jump:  $Lf(x) = \lambda(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, dy) (f(y) - f(x)) \right)$

## Theorem

Assume that

- ▶  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max(\lambda(x), \tilde{\lambda}(x)) < \infty$
- ▶  $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}[|X_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)} + |\tilde{X}_t|^{\varrho(1+\varepsilon)}]$  is locally bounded.

Then

- ▶  $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |L\psi_t(x)| P_t(dx) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\tilde{L}\tilde{\psi}_t(x)| \tilde{P}_t(dx)$  is locally bounded
- ▶  $t \mapsto W_{\varrho}^{\varrho}(P_t, \tilde{P}_t)$  is locally Lipschitz on  $(0, +\infty)$  and for almost every  $t \in (0, \infty)$

$$\frac{d}{dt} W_{\varrho}^{\varrho}(P_t, \tilde{P}_t) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L\psi_t(x) P_t(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{L}\tilde{\psi}_t(x) \tilde{P}_t(dx).$$

- ▶ for every  $t \geq 0$  the integral formula holds true.

# Piecewise Deterministic Markov Processes

$$Lf(x) = V(x)\nabla f(x) + \lambda(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, dy) (f(y) - f(x)) \right).$$

The result still holds true

# Piecewise Deterministic Markov Processes

$$Lf(x) = V(x)\nabla f(x) + \lambda(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, dy) (f(y) - f(x)) \right).$$

The result still holds true but:

- ▶ we have to restrict on the real line;
- ▶ more regularity on the marginals is required.

# Thank you for your attention